

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/687,157	SIE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Phillip H. Nguyen	2191	

All Participants:

(1) Phillip H. Nguyen.

(2) William Daley (52,471).

Date of Interview: 4 January 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *examiner's amendment.*

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

102

Claims discussed:

all independent claims

Prior art documents discussed:

Aristides et al. and Kenner et al.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: During the telephone interview with the undersigned attorney, examiner has indicated that the independent claims were in allowable condition but needed to incorporate subject matters of claims 2 and 4 or 15 and 17. The proposed examiner's amendment to the independent claims was necessitated to further clarify the claimed invention. Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given by a William Daley on 1/4/2010.